

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/014,958 | 12/11/2001 | Harold A. Ludtke | SONY 3.0-012 | 6326 |
| 530 | 7590 10/28/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK | | | ST CYR, DANIEL | |
| | AVENUE WEST | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WESTFIELI | O, NJ 07090 | | 2876 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/28/200 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 91 |
|---|--|---|------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 10/014,958 | LUDTKE, HAROLD A. | |
| Authory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Daniel St.Cyr | 2876 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 September 2004 FAILS TO PLATHEREFORE, further action by the applicant is required to a linal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetixamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this ((1) a timely filed amendmer | application. A proper reply to a it which places the application in | |
| PERIOD FOR RI | EPLY [check either a) or b) | | , |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene of above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three marned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set for han SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS of ate on which the petition under 37 C nsion and the corresponding amount at statutory period for reply originally | date of the final rejection. F THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension feet of the fee. The appropriate extension fee unset in the final Office action; or (2) as set forti | e der h in |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to | because: | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require furth | her consideration and/or sea | arch (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by | materially reducing or simplifying | the |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without cance NOTE: | eling a corresponding numb | er of finally rejected claims. | |
| 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje | ection(s): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted | in a separate, timely filed amendme | ent |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: the application in condition for allowance because: | | | ıe |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SO | LELY to issues which were newly | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared to the proposed amended claims were appeared to the proposed amended claims were appeared to the proposed amended to the proposed amendment and the proposed amendment appeared to the pr | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | 5 : | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: None. | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>14-33</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 5-13</u> . | | | |
| | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3. The drawing correction filed on 11 December 200 | <u>01</u> is a)⊡ approved or b)∑ | disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| | | | |

Danier St Cyr Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2876 Continuation of 10. Other: The claims remain as set forth in the final Office action filed 6/15/04...